2024, Volume 4, Page No: 60-67

ISSN: 3108-4818

Society of Medical Education & Research

International Journal of Social and Psychological Aspects of Healthcare

Stigma Surrounding Burnout and Mental Health Issues among Healthcare Workers in Pakistan

Madeeha Malik^{1*}, Hamza Saeed², Azhar Hussain³, Avisha Hashmi¹

¹Cyntax Health Projects, Contract Research Organization (CRO) & Corporate Firm, Islamabad, Pakistan.

²Hamdard Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hamdard University Islamabad, Pakistan.

³Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Pak-Austria Fachhochschule: Institute of Applied Sciences and Technology, Haripur, Pakistan.

***E-mail** ⊠ Madeehamalik19@gmail.com

Abstract

Burnout and mental health disorders are widely recognized as important factors in the global health crisis. This study aimed to investigate the stigma associated with burnout and mental health conditions among healthcare providers in Pakistan. A descriptive cross-sectional design was used for the study. To assess burnout, the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was used, while anxiety symptoms were assessed with the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), and the severity of work-related depressive symptoms was evaluated using the Occupational Depression Inventory (ODI). The sample consisted of 382 healthcare professionals, and data analysis was performed using SPSS version 21. Spearman's correlation was used to examine the relationship between dimensions of burnout and occupational depression. The findings showed that there was no significant difference in MBI scores across different demographic groups. A weak positive correlation was observed between burnout and occupational depression. All dimensions of burnout were found to be intercorrelated. The study concluded that healthcare workers experience considerable burnout, along with mild anxiety and moderate occupational depression. Males showed higher levels of generalized anxiety compared to females. Higher rates of occupational depression were observed among male workers, those with over 20 years of experience, and professionals employed in nursing and community pharmacy roles.

Keywords: Pakistan, Burnout, Healthcare professionals, Mental illness

Introduction

Burnout is described by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) as "a syndrome conceptualized as resulting from chronic workplace stress that has not been successfully managed." It is characterized by (i) feelings of physical or mental exhaustion, (ii) increasing emotional detachment from one's job, (iii) negative or cynical attitudes toward the profession, and (iv) a

Access this article online

https://smerpub.com/

Received: 06 September 2024; Accepted: 02 December 2024

Copyright CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

How to cite this article: Malik M, Saeed H, Hussain A, Hashmi A. Stigma Surrounding Burnout and Mental Health Issues among Healthcare Workers in Pakistan. Int J Soc Psychol Asp Healthc. 2024;4:60-7. https://doi.org/10.51847/addHcyQPBW

decrease in professional efficacy. It is considered an occupational phenomenon rather than a medical condition [1]. Despite global efforts to address the issue, mental illness remains heavily stigmatized due to pervasive negative stereotypes in society [2]. Various initiatives have focused on altering healthcare providers' attitudes toward mental illness, as they often hold the same prejudices as the general public. This perpetuates the stigma, discourages individuals from seeking help, and creates a culture in the medical field where future professionals adopt similar views [3]. The stigma surrounding mental illness represents a significant barrier to effective treatment [4, 5]. The stigma is primarily composed of discrimination, misunderstanding, and harmful judgments [6].

The stigma associated with mental illness can obstruct access to opportunities and deny individuals equal rights

within society [7]. It can also hinder campaigns advocating for mental health treatment, resulting in fewer people seeking care, with many remaining undiagnosed. This not only impacts the quality of life but also adds to the burden on both the individual and the community [8]. While healthcare providers are typically viewed as compassionate and supportive, this is not always the case, and sometimes the healthcare system itself contributes to the stigma in certain settings [9].

Mental health disorders are often linked to burnout among professionals. A study in Japan explored the relationship between burnout and the stigma associated with mental illness, revealing that mental illness stigma, burnout, and occupational stress are negatively correlated. Consequently, efforts must be made to reduce stigma to prevent burnout from developing [10]. Research in the United States and Lithuania found higher levels of burnout among American professionals compared to their Lithuanian counterparts, who were found to be more adaptable and resilient in challenging work environments [11]. A qualitative study in Ghana revealed that primary healthcare workers commonly experience job-related stress and burnout, factors that contribute to high staff turnover and dissatisfaction among both patients and providers [12]. A study in France investigated the link between borderline personality disorder, depression, and burnout, finding that burnout in women was related to the "affective insecurity" and "impulsivity" aspects of borderline personality disorder [13]. In Bahrain, a study examined the connection between mental illness stigma and evidence-based care, demonstrating that healthcare professionals who supported evidence-based practices were less likely to harbor stigma against patients with mental illness [14].

In recent years, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recognized burnout as an official syndrome resulting from long-term stress in the workplace, impacting nearly 25% of the global workforce [15]. Mental illness, particularly depression, affects approximately 264 million people worldwide, with 76% to 85% of individuals in low- and middle-income countries not receiving treatment. In the United States, burnout alone leads to annual losses between \$125 billion and \$190 billion [16]. In Pakistan, the prevalence of mental health disorders is on the rise, yet due to the social stigma surrounding mental illness, many cases remain undiagnosed. The growing workload on healthcare

professionals negatively impacts their productivity and increases the risk of burnout, while the stigma surrounding mental health further hampers their effectiveness in such demanding environments. Research conducted in Pakistan found no significant link between burnout and the doctor-patient relationship [17]. A cross-sectional survey of gynecological residents in Pakistan showed higher levels of burnout in government institutions compared to private ones [18]. A study in Lahore revealed that burnout among physicians was more prevalent than international reports suggest [19]. In a tertiary care hospital, a study on nurses highlighted that burnout, primarily due to excessive workloads, was common and had a detrimental effect on their quality of life, which in turn impacted patient care [20].

Burnout arises from occupational stress and low wages in an underpaid labor market. Given Pakistan's inflation, healthcare workers often face an increased workload due to low salaries. A lack of a supportive work environment and extended hours contribute to mental exhaustion, reducing overall quality of life. Mental health issues are still stigmatized in society, leading to many cases being neither diagnosed nor reported. Healthcare professionals in Pakistan are expected to remain unaffected by mental illness, adding to their distress as they attempt to manage stress and burnout independently, which leads to lower productivity and diminished patient care. Few studies have examined the barriers to addressing burnout and mental health challenges among healthcare workers in Pakistan. Hence, this study aims to evaluate burnout and the stigma associated with mental illness among healthcare professionals in the country.

Materials and Methods

A descriptive cross-sectional study design was used to explore burnout and the stigma related to mental illness among healthcare professionals in Pakistan. The study sought to identify the relationship between burnout and the stigma surrounding mental illness. Ethical clearance was granted by Hamdard University's Ethical Committee (Ref. No. HU/DRA/2022/085). Data collection occurred across various settings, including district hospitals, tertiary care institutions, both public and private healthcare facilities, medical schools, pharmaceutical companies, regulatory agencies, and marketing departments in Pakistan. Participants included nurses, doctors, and pharmacists. Given the absence of an up-to-date and validated list of healthcare professionals in the

country, convenience sampling was employed, involving all willing respondents available during the data collection period. The sample size was determined using the Rao Soft calculator, set at a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error, resulting in a sample of 382.

The study employed three validated instruments for assessment. The Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was utilized to measure burnout, comprising three sections: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal achievement, with 22 items scored on a Likert scale from 0 to 6 (0 = Never, 1 = A few times a year, 2 = Once a month, 3 = A few times a month, 4 = Once a week, 5 =A few times a week, and 6 = Daily). The sections were scored separately, and a total score was calculated for the entire inventory [10]. Anxiety symptoms were evaluated using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7), which consists of seven items plus an additional question, each scored on a Likert scale from 0 to 3 (0 = Not at all, 1 = Several days, 2 = More than half the days, and 3 =Nearly every day), with the total score being the sum of individual responses, commonly used as an anxiety screening tool [21]. Job-related depression was measured with the Occupational Depression Inventory (ODI), which includes nine items plus a question on turnover intention. Responses are scored on a Likert scale from 0 to 3 (0 = Never or almost never, 1 = A few days, 2 = M ore than half the days, and 3 = Nearly every day, and the total score is derived by summing the individual scores [13].

Data collection took place between January and May 2022. Once collected, the data was checked, cleaned, coded, and entered into SPSS version 21 for analysis. Descriptive statistics such as frequencies and percentages were calculated. Non-parametric tests, along with correlation and regression analyses, were used to examine differences and relationships between the variables.

Results and Discussion

Among the 382 participants, 51.3% (n = 196) were male, and 49.7% (n = 186) were female. The predominant age group was 25-30 years, representing 38% (n = 145) of the total respondents. Regarding marital status, 34% (n = 130) were unmarried, while 63.1% (n = 241) were married. In terms of income, 40.8% (n = 156) of the respondents had an income ranging from Rs 51,000 to Rs 100,000. As for professional roles, 38% (n = 145) were doctors, 34.6% (n = 132) were pharmacists, and 27.5%

(n = 105) were nurses. Additional details can be found in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of respondents

Age (years) 25-30 145 (38.0) Age (years) 41-50 62 (16.2) 51-60 10 (2.6) 5 (1.3) Female 196 (51.3) Female Female 186 (49.7) Female Female 186 (49.7) Female Female 186 (49.7) Female Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Profession Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Profession Profession <t< th=""><th>Indicator</th><th>Srapine characteristics of re</th><th>N (%)</th></t<>	Indicator	Srapine characteristics of re	N (%)
Age (years) 41-50 62 (16.2) 51-60 10 (2.6) > 60 5 (1.3) Age (apart) 5 (1.3) Age (apart) 196 (51.3) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Rural 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) Years of experience 65 (17.0) Years of experience 65 (17.0) 11-20 Years 15 (60.1) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 21 (60.0) 16 (40.2) 22 (50.0) 16 (40.2) 23 (30.0) 10 (25-30	145 (38.0)
51-60 10 (2.6) 5 (1.3) Cender Male 196 (51.3) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Islamabad 105 (27.5) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) KPK 64 (16.8) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Rural 54 (14.1) Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) 4 (2 Years) 65 (17.0) 6 (2 Years) 65 (17.0) 7 (2 Years) 15 (30.1) 6 (2 Years) 15 (30.1) 6 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 7 (2 Years) 25 (6.5) 11-20 Years 15 (30.1) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 15 (40.8) 11-20 Years 15 (40.8) 110,000-200,000 16 (42.2) 10 (2.6)		31-40	160 (41.9)
Gender > 60 5 (1.3) Gender Male 196 (51.3) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) By Chingle 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) Years 65 (17.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 115 (30.1) Years of experience 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 10 (2.6) 21 (6.3) 10 (2.6) 21 (6.3) 16 (40.2) </td <td>Age (years)</td> <td>41-50</td> <td>62 (16.2)</td>	Age (years)	41-50	62 (16.2)
Gender Male 196 (51.3) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Female 186 (49.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Single 130 (34.0) Marital status Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) Years of experience 65 (17.0) 13-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) 51,000-99,000 116 (30.4) 51,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 145 (38.0) Nurse 105 (27.5) <td></td> <td>51-60</td> <td>10 (2.6)</td>		51-60	10 (2.6)
Gender Female 186 (49.7) City Islamabad 105 (27.5) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Taxila 93 (24.3) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Botting Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Single 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) Years of experience 52 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) 2 Salo,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing		> 60	5 (1.3)
Female 186 (49.7) Islamabad 105 (27.5) Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) Taxila 93 (24.3) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Burban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) Married 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) ≥ 20 Years 10 (2.6)	G 1	Male	196 (51.3)
City Rawalpindi 98 (25.7) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Betting Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Marital status Married 241 (63.1) Married 241 (63.1) 0thers (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) Years of experience 65 (17.0) 65 (17.0) 4 (24 Years) 65 (17.0) 11 (2.0) 4 (25 Years) 167 (43.7) 167 (43.7) 5 (27 Years) 167 (43.7) 167 (43.7) 11 -20 Years 25 (6.5) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 2 (2 Years) 24 (63.1) 10 (2.6) 2 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 10 (2.6) 2 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 11 (2.9) 2 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 10 (2.6) 3 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 10 (2.6) 3 (2 Years) 10 (2.6) 11 (2.9) 4 (3 X) 11 (2.9) 11 (2.9) 4 (3 X) 11 (2.0) 11 (2.0) 4 (3 X) 10 (2.6)	Gender	Female	186 (49.7)
City Taxila 93 (24.3) KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Marital status Single 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) 11 (2.9) 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Islamabad	105 (27.5)
KPK 64 (16.8) Others 22 (5.8) Marital status Urban 328 (85.9) Marital status Rural 54 (14.1) Married 241 (63.1) 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) 11 (2.9) Married 241 (63.1) 25 (17.0) Married 241 (63.1) 11 (2.9) Married 241 (63.1) 11 (2.9) Married 241 (63.1) 11 (2.9) Years of experience 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 167 (43.7) 167 (43.7) Income 31-5 Years 167 (43.7) 25 (6.5) 20 Years 10 (2.6) Page Solyears 10 (2.6) 24 (6.3) 116 (30.4) Page Solyears 10 (2.6) 116 (30.4) 116 (30.4) Mary angles 156 (40.8) 100.000-200,000 70 (18.3) Page Doctor 145 (38.0) 145 (38.0) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) 14 (2.5) A		Rawalpindi	98 (25.7)
Others 22 (5.8) Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Marital status Single 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) 4 ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) 5 (30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 5 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	City	Taxila	93 (24.3)
Setting Urban 328 (85.9) Rural 54 (14.1) Amarital Status Single 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) 4 (63.1) 11 (2.9) 4 (63.1) 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) > 20Years 10 (2.6) 31,000-50,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		KPK	64 (16.8)
Setting Rural 54 (14.1) Marital status Single 130 (34.0) Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) ≤ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Others	22 (5.8)
Rural 54 (14.1) Marital status Single 130 (34.0) Marital status Married 241 (63.1) Years of experience 5 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) 2 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	gt	Urban	328 (85.9)
Marital status Married 241 (63.1) Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) ≤ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	Setting	Rural	54 (14.1)
Others (Divorced, widowed) 11 (2.9) ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) ≥ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Single	130 (34.0)
Years of experience ≤ 2 Years 65 (17.0) Years of experience 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 25 (6.5) 23 (3.0) 24 (6.3) 31 (8.1) Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 20 Years 10 (2.6) 21 (5.5) 22 Years 23 (6.5) 24 (6.3) 31 (8.1) 22 Years 23 (6.5) 24 (6.3) 31 (8.1) Years 21 (5.5) 4 Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	Marital status	Married	241 (63.1)
Years of experience 3-5 Years 115 (30.1) 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) ≤ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Others (Divorced, widowed)	11 (2.9)
Years of experience 6-10 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20Years 10 (2.6) ≤ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		≤2 Years	65 (17.0)
experience 11-20 Years 167 (43.7) 11-20 Years 25 (6.5) > 20 Years 10 (2.6) ≤ 30,000 24 (6.3) 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Doctor 145 (38.0) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		3-5 Years	115 (30.1)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6-10 Years	167 (43.7)
$ \begin{tabular}{ll} $\le 30,000$ & $24 \ (6.3)$ \\ \hline $31,000\text{-}50,000$ & $116 \ (30.4)$ \\ \hline $51,000\text{-}99,000$ & $156 \ (40.8)$ \\ \hline $100,000\text{-}200,000$ & $70 \ (18.3)$ \\ \hline $>200,000$ & $16 \ (4.2)$ \\ \hline $Profession$ & $Pharmacist$ & $132 \ (34.6)$ \\ \hline $Nurse$ & $105 \ (27.5)$ \\ \hline $Academia$ & $78 \ (20.4)$ \\ \hline $Community \ pharmacies$ & $31 \ (8.1)$ \\ \hline $Sales \ and \ marketing$ & $21 \ (5.5)$ \\ \hline $Regulatory \ affairs$ & $14 \ (3.7)$ \\ \hline \end{tabular} $	experience	11-20 Years	25 (6.5)
Income 31,000-50,000 116 (30.4) 51,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Doctor 145 (38.0) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		> 20Years	10 (2.6)
Income 51,000-99,000 156 (40.8) 100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Poctor 145 (38.0) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		≤ 30,000	24 (6.3)
100,000-200,000 70 (18.3) > 200,000 16 (4.2) Doctor 145 (38.0) Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		31,000-50,000	116 (30.4)
Soles and marketing Soles and marketing Profession Pharmacist Pharmacist	Income	51,000-99,000	156 (40.8)
Profession Doctor 145 (38.0) Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		100,000-200,000	70 (18.3)
Profession Pharmacist 132 (34.6) Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		> 200,000	16 (4.2)
Nurse 105 (27.5) Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Doctor	145 (38.0)
Hospital 212 (55.5) Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	Profession	Pharmacist	132 (34.6)
Working setting Academia 78 (20.4) Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Nurse	105 (27.5)
Working setting Community pharmacies 31 (8.1) Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Hospital	212 (55.5)
Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)		Academia	78 (20.4)
Sales and marketing 21 (5.5) Regulatory affairs 14 (3.7)	Worling	Community pharmacies	31 (8.1)
	working setting	Sales and marketing	21 (5.5)
Industry 26 (6.8)		Regulatory affairs	14 (3.7)
		Industry	26 (6.8)

Others	0 (0)

The MBI mean score was $60.32 \ (\pm 15.58)$, showing a high degree of burnout. The GAD-7 score was $7.7 \ (\pm 4.53)$, pointing to mild anxiety levels in healthcare professionals. The ODI score was $9.91 \ (\pm 5.86)$, which reflects a moderate degree of occupational depression (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Mean scores of MBI, GAD, and ODI for healthcare professionals

Indicator	Mean (± SD)			
Exhaustion	15.4 (± 8.20)			
Depersonalization	13.15 (± 8.61)			
Personal achievement	31.74 (± 10.23)			
Composite	60.32 (± 15.58)			
Generalized anxiety disorder (GAD-7)	7.7 (± 4.53)			
ODI	9.91 (± 5.86)			

There were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in MBI scores among healthcare professionals based on factors

such as gender, work environment, age, experience, income, profession, or setting. A significant difference (P ≤ 0.05) in Generalized Anxiety Disorder scores was found between genders, with male healthcare professionals experiencing higher levels of generalized anxiety compared to females. No substantial variations (P > 0.05) were noted about other demographic characteristics. For ODI scores, significant differences (P \leq 0.05) were observed based on years of experience, gender, profession, and work environment. Males showed higher levels of occupational depression than females. Professionals with over 20 years of experience had more occupational depression, and nurses had greater levels of occupational depression than doctors and pharmacists. Additionally, community pharmacists reported higher occupational depression compared to their counterparts in other work settings. No significant differences (P > 0.05) were found for other variables. Spearman's correlation analysis revealed a mild positive link between burnout dimensions and occupational depression, with all burnout dimensions being interrelated (Table 3).

Table 3. Comparison of MBI, generalized anxiety disorder, and ODI score among healthcare professionals

	N	MBI			GAD-7			ODI		
Indicator		Mean rank	Test stats	P-value	Mean rank	Test stats	P-value	Mean rank	Test stats	P-value
Gender	Male = 196 Female = 186	197.50 185.18	17052.50 ^a	0.268	205.45 176.80	15493.50 ^a	0.010	210.93 171.02	14419.500a	0.001
Setting	Urban = 328 Rural = 54	191.89 189.11	8727.0ª	0.860	191.83 189.47	8746.50 ^a	0.887	191.78 189.78	8763.0ª	0.906
Age (years)	25-30 (n = 145) 31-40 (n = 160) 41-50 (n = 62) 51-60 (n = 10) > 60 (n = 5)	197.05 185.34 194.02 173.25 232.70	1.867b	0.767	185.79 194.45 190.23 211.55 238.50	1.758 ^b	0.788	192.83 191.63 176.36 234.85 249.80	4.133 ^b	0.391
Years of experience	≤ 2 (n = 65) 3-5 (n = 115) 6-10 (n = 167) 11-20 (n = 25) > 20 (n = 10)	197.70 198.47 184.46 191.34 189.00	1.349b	0.855	164.80	8.826 ^b	0.068	188.30 203.72 187.72 143.22 255.50	9.825 ^b	0.046
Income	$\leq 30,000 = 24$ 31,000-50,000 = 116 51,000-99,000 = 156 100,000-200,000 = 70 > 200,000 = 16	206.06 198.56 188.59 182.95 184.28	1.489b	0.828	203.63 195.78 160.36 231.85			204.90 197.54 188.10 178.91 215.88	2.546 ^b	0.643

Profession	Doctor = 145 Pharmacist = 132 Nurse = 105	182.87 203.44 188.40	2.514b	0.284	188.25 189.19 192.67 192.25 198.47	0.157b	0.997	186.93 176.06 217.22	8.549 ^b	0.015
Working setting	Hospital = 212 Academia = 78 Community pharmacies = 31 Sales and marketing = 21 Regulatory affairs = 14 Industry = 26	188.70 183.40 231.92 196.29 227.18 167.38	7.456b	0.186	202.27 176.52 195.46 169.22 179.47 200.07 178.65	3.973b	5.929b	207.50 157.16 222.76 184.50 162.75 147.96	19.608 ^b	0.001

A hierarchical multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to assess the association between ODI scores and various burnout dimensions. The initial stage of the emotional exhaustion (EE) analysis did not reveal any significant predictors. However, in the subsequent stage, the inclusion of ODI resulted in a substantial variance shift (F = 30.13, P < 0.01). In the analysis of depersonalization (DP), gender was identified as a key predictor in the first step. The second stage showed that ODI significantly contributed to additional variance (F = 76.90, P < 0.01), indicating that stigma was linked to heightened DP levels. Regarding personal achievement (PA), no significant predictors emerged in the first step, but the addition of ODI in the second stage led to a noteworthy variance change (F = 23.03, P < 0.01). Detailed findings are presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4. Hierarchical multiple linear regression analysis and the ODI scores on the MBI

and the obligation on the main									
	notiona naustion	_	Deperson	alization	Personal achievement				
	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2	Step 1	Step 2			
	β	β	β	β	β	β			
Age	019	018	005	003	018	020			
Gender	063	.012	156	053	.085	.018			
ODI		**.001		**.001		**.001			
R	.063	.439	.155ª	.616	.089	.393			
R2	.004	.193	.024	.379	.008	.155			
R ² change score	001	.187	.019	.374	.003	.148			
F	.761	30.13*	4.690*	76.90**	1.517	23.03**			

^{*}P \leq 0.05; **P \leq 0.01; step 1: adjusted for age and gender; step 2: adjusted for ODI

The stigma surrounding mental illness is a growing public health concern worldwide, with its prevalence being particularly high in developing nations due to inadequate coping strategies among healthcare professionals [22]. This issue significantly impacts their productivity. Occupational stress is a key factor contributing to the stigma associated with mental illness, and when burnout remains undiagnosed or untreated, it can escalate into severe mental health disorders [23]. This study aimed to examine the link between burnout and stigma related to mental illness among healthcare professionals in Pakistan.

Findings indicated that the exhaustion component of the MBI showed a low level of burnout, as most healthcare professionals reported experiencing exhaustion only once a month. This could be attributed to their training, which equips them to handle stressful work environments. A study in the USA yielded similar results, where doctors reported lower levels of exhaustion [24]. However, the depersonalization component revealed a high level of burnout, as many professionals displayed cynicism or negative attitudes toward patients several times per month. The personal achievement component also indicated high burnout levels, with respondents expressing doubts about their abilities to achieve goals. This may stem from their inability to focus on personal and professional development due to excessive workload. Overall, the study identified a high prevalence of burnout among healthcare professionals. Regarding occupational depression, notable differences in MBI scores were found among professionals, but those with over 20 years of experience exhibited higher occupational depression, possibly due to heavier workloads associated with specialized roles. Nurses reported higher occupational depression levels than other healthcare professionals. A study in the USA found that among various healthcare settings, community pharmacists had the highest occupational depression levels, possibly due to a loss of compassion toward patients, colleagues, and managers, leading to diminished interest in their profession [19]. Similar trends were observed in Japan, where a study on psychiatric nurses revealed widespread occupational depression in this group [25].

The current study also found a weak positive correlation between burnout and ODI, with all burnout dimensions being interrelated. Age and gender contributed to variations in emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal achievement scores. A study in Sweden produced similar results, linking a low sense of personal achievement to avoidant behaviors and attitudes among psychiatric staff toward patients [26]. Another study in Japan found that stigma related to mental illness significantly influenced only the depersonalization component of burnout [10]. Additionally, the negative beta coefficient suggested that age was inversely related to all three burnout subscales. Research conducted in Spain also identified a connection between burnout dimensions and pessimistic attitudes among mental health professionals toward their patients [27].

Limitations

This study faced several constraints, including limitations in time, financial resources, and sample size, which may affect the extent to which the findings can be applied to the broader population. Additionally, some participants were reluctant to express their opinions on sensitive topics, which could have influenced the responses.

Conclusion

The findings highlight the widespread presence of burnout and stigma linked to mental illness among healthcare professionals. A notable degree of burnout was identified, alongside mild anxiety and moderate occupational depression among healthcare workers in Pakistan. To mitigate these concerns, routine health evaluations should be conducted within healthcare institutions. Organizations should implement coping mechanisms, including problem-focused, emotion-focused, and social support strategies, to alleviate burnout. Furthermore, specialized workplace health promotion initiatives for healthcare professionals should be introduced to address mental health stigma. Promoting

interventions aimed at combating both stigma and burnout can support the psychological well-being of healthcare staff. Mental health training emphasizing social engagement may also help reduce workplace stigma.

Acknowledgments: None

Conflict of Interest: None

Financial Support: None

Ethics Statement: The Hamdard University's Ethical Committee approved the study (Ref. No. HU/DRA/2022/085).

References

- Organization WH. The WHO special initiative for mental health (2019-2023): universal health coverage for mental health. JSTOR; 2019.
- 2. Heney DB. Solving for stigma in mental health care. J Eval Clin Pract. 2022;28(5):883-9.
- 3. Hajebi A, Hashemian SS, Abolhassani M, Hajebi A, Alizadeh K, Rahnejat AM, et al. Assessing the impact of stigma reduction interventions in Iran: a qualitative study from the perspective of mental health stakeholders. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1027002.
- Akova İ, Kiliç E, Özdemir ME. Prevalence of burnout, depression, anxiety, stress, and hopelessness among healthcare workers in COVID-19 pandemic in Turkey. INQUIRY. 2022;59(2):00469580221079684.
- Vaičienė V, Blaževičienė A, Macijauskiene J, Sidebotham M. The prevalence of burnout, depression, anxiety and stress in the Lithuanian midwifery workforce and correlation with sociodemographic factors. Nurs Open. 2022;9(4):2209-16.
- 6. Fernandes R, Sordi B, Vidigal B, Martins A, Bobbili S, Ventura C. Stigma experienced by people with mental illness in South America: an integrative review. Revista Cuidarte. 2022;13(2).
- Fischer IC, Norman SB, Feder A, Feingold JH, Peccoralo L, Ripp J, et al. Downstream consequences of moral distress in COVID-19 frontline healthcare workers: longitudinal

- associations with moral injury-related guilt. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2022;79:158-61.
- 8. Kim B, Jee S, Lee J, An S, Lee SM. Relationships between social support and student burnout: a meta-analytic approach. Stress Health. 2018;34(1):127-34.
- de Mélo Silva Júnior ML, Valença MM, Rocha-Filho PAS. Individual and residency program factors related to depression, anxiety and burnout in physician residents—a Brazilian survey. BMC Psychiatry. 2022;22(1):1-10.
- Mitake T, Iwasaki S, Deguchi Y, Nitta T, Nogi Y, Kadowaki A, et al. Relationship between burnout and mental-illness-related stigma among nonprofessional occupational mental health staff. Biomed Res Int. 2019;2019(3):5921703.
- Endriulaitienė A, Žardeckaitė-Matulaitienė K, Pranckevičienė A, Markšaitytė R, Tillman DR, Hof DD. Self-stigma of seeking help and job burnout in mental health care providers: the comparative study of Lithuanian and the USA samples. J Workplace Behav Health. 2019;34(2):129-48.
- 12. Konlan KD, Asampong E, Dako-Gyeke P, Glozah FN. Burnout syndrome among healthcare workers during COVID-19 pandemic in Accra, Ghana. PloS one. 2022;17(6):e0268404.
- Bianchi R, Laurent E, Schonfeld IS, Bietti LM, Mayor E. Memory bias toward emotional information in burnout and depression. J Health Psychol. 2020;25(10-11):1567-75.
- 14. Al Saif F, Al Shakhoori H, Nooh S, Jahrami H. Association between attitudes of stigma toward mental illness and attitudes toward adoption of evidence-based practice within health care providers in Bahrain. Plos One. 2019;14(12):e0225738.
- 15. Claponea RM, Pop LM, Iorga M, Iurcov R. Symptoms of burnout syndrome among physicians during the outbreak of COVID-19 pandemic-a systematic literature review. Healthcare (Basel). 2022;10(6):979.
- Schonfeld IS, Verkuilen J, Bianchi R. An exploratory structural equation modeling bi-factor analytic approach to uncovering what burnout, depression, and anxiety scales measure. Psychol Assess. 2019;31(8):1073.
- 17. Ahmad W, Ashraf H, Talat A, Khan AA, Baig AA, Zia I, et al. Association of burnout with doctor–patient relationship and common stressors among

- postgraduate trainees and house officers in Lahore—a cross-sectional study. PeerJ. 2018;6(1):e5519.
- 18. Waheed K, Liaqat N, Khanum A, Ejaz S, Ijaz S, Butt A, et al. Burnout among gynaecological residents in Lahore, Pakistan: a cross-sectional survey. J Pak Med Assoc. 2017;67(9):1318-22.
- Kamran R, Bari A, Zaheer N, Shahbaz M, Aslam K, Yasser F, et al. Prevalence of burnout among dentists in CMH Lahore medical college & institute of dentistry, Pakistan. Adv Biores. 2020;11(6):164-9.
- Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, Zhou A, Panagopoulou E, Chew-Graham C, et al. Association between physician burnout and patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2018;178(10):1317-30.
- Talih F, Warakian R, Ajaltouni J, Shehab AAS, Tamim H. Correlates of depression and burnout among residents in a Lebanese academic medical center: a cross-sectional study. Acad Psychiatry. 2016;40(1):38-45.
- Sachdeva A, Nandini H, Kumar V, Chawla RK, Chopra K. From stress to stigma–mental health considerations of health care workers involved in COVID19 management. Indian J Tuberc. 2022;69(4):590-5.
- 23. Koutra K, Mavroeides G, Triliva S. Mental health professionals' attitudes towards people with severe mental illness: are they related to professional quality of life? Community Ment Health J. 2022;58(4):701-12.
- 24. Abdalla R, Ansari S, Hurley M, Attarian H, Fargen K, Hirsch J, et al. Correlation of call burden and sleep deprivation with physician burnout, driving crashes, and medical errors among US neurointerventionalists. Am J Neuroradiol. 2022;43(9):1286-91.
- 25. Ekingen E, Teleş M, Yıldız A, Yıldırım M. Mediating effect of work stress in the relationship between fear of COVID-19 and nurses' organizational and professional turnover intentions. Arch Psychiatr Nurs. 2023;42(1):97-105.
- Holmberg M, Hammarbäck S, Andersson H. Registered nurses' experiences of assessing patients with mental illness in emergency care: a qualitative descriptive study. Nord J Nurs Res. 2020;40(3):151-61.

27. Pelayo-Terán J, Zapico-Merayo Y, Llamas MG, Vega-García S, López-Zapico C, Villa-Carcedo M, et al. Factors associated to stigma in mental health

workers of Castilla y Leon. The role of burnout and work motivation. Eur Psychiatry. 2022;65(S1):S689-90.